

June 30, 2017
Tokyo, Japan

**Learned Intellectuals in the world deliberately
subordinate logicity to delicacy.**

Toshiyuki Namai

This reading material guides you to the right direction for you to make sense as a person who wishes to be an intellectual as one of organizers of human-made civilization in the history of humankind here on this planet.

To begin with, I'd like you to sensitively imagine the following. Prior to your imagination you are going to experience according to my guidance given, I carefully need to inform you that how you sensitively imagine the following remarkably changes the quality of study this time very much in advance.

“Nothing comes to you at all, when you are here or there in the process to think of the concept of vacancy at the early stage in your brains.

↓

Then, ‘something comes’ in your brains later by inches. Such thing you recognize can be the one which reasonably guides you to be more intellectual in the future.”

Essentially speaking, the concept, namely vacancy is not a simply vacant state at all. If you are sensitive enough, something valuable naturally comes to you in your romantic and intellectual voyage through feeling a sense of vacancy inwardly yourself.

What is a thing you are romantically feeling in your heart right now? Do you clearly recognize it yourself? Everything regarding this matter hinges upon you. It goes without saying that no one compulsorily pushes you to feel anything in your heart.

As a matter of fact, to think something in your brains is just a matter of local logicity. On the other hand, to feel something in your heart is the very matter of delicacy.

Learned Intellectuals in the world subordinate logicity to delicacy. Because logicity is just a matter of reasonability in logic itself. There is nothing more, nothing at all in it.

As a matter of science, fundamentally, there are two kinds of logic in the civilized societies in the West. The one is deductive logic. The other is inductive logic. It doesn't matter which one you use as a matter of your logic scientifically (even through, those are the important ones jurisprudentially in the field of orthodox jurisprudence (legal science, or law)).

But it seriously matters whether you are delicate or not in your intellectual experience. Because delicacy has power to concretely affect you (the quality of your intellectuality) more than you simply imagine.

Finally, I ask you to imagine the following for your intellectual sake some more. Which is more meaningful experience for human beings as a matter of necessity regarding the two, "something crucial" or "something critical"?

The one you chose at your discretion will be the very matter of delicacy which guides you to a meaningful and valuable intellectual direction in the future. I hope you have some sort of delicate stimulation in the sphere of your intellectuality in the process to choose the one from the two.